Why ME is not all in the mind

Doctors get an unfair press when

treating chronic fatigue syndrome

SUNDAY'S edition of Front-line (Channel 4) was billed as an indictment of modern medicine. It concerned the illness known to sufferers as myalgic encephalomyelitis (ME), to doctors as chronic fatigue syndrome (CFS), and to journalists as "yuppie flu".

It showed an unsympathetic medical establishment, deaf to the pleas of sufferers from this terrible affliction. To emphasise the point, viewers were told of the awful story of a young boy with ME, unable to speak or move, taken from his family by social workers on medical advice, and subjected to such indignities as being thrown in a swimming pool to see if he would swim. An image of a boy drowning was used repeatedly with harrowing effect. The stories were

estimony movng, and the programme fronted
by a sympathetic
loctor, herself a
loctim of the same
lisease. But was
the whole story?
There is
nother version
hich throws a
lifferent and

convincing, the

newspaper coverage which the programme attracted. It shows that in the world of ME, psychiatric care is only given to malingerers, and that psychiatric and physical disorders are mutually exclusive. Both views are wrong, and indeed offensive to those unlucky enough to suffer psychiatric illness.

Of course patients with ME

needs look no further than the

Of course patients with ME are not malingerers. Recent papers on the subject go to great lengths to emphasise the severity and genuineness of disability in ME. According to a survey, far from dismissing sufferers, general practitioners devote an extraordinary amount of time to their care.

It is true that many doctors feel that psychological factors are of considerable impor-

tance, confirmed by a surprisingly unanimous research literature. Studies have found that many sufferers show evidence of psychological disorder, particularly depression. But it is absurd to suggest that this

Psychiatric disorder and physical illness are not contradictory

more complex light on these matters. The programme failed to mention that the events surrounding the boy had been subject to an enquiry, summoned by the Manx government, to investigate the same allegations so graphically described by Channel 4.

The enquiry published a 164-page report a year ago. Conditioned as we are by the litany of medical and social services failures in the past, the conclusions were surprising. The enquiry said that all the professionals involved (including, on one occasion, myself) had acted correctly, indeed, with care and compassion. Doctors and social services were praised, rather than criticised.

This should have been the last word. Everyone had acted appropriately and in the best interests of the child. Even better, the story had a happy ending, since the boy recovered. But, as the programme showed, it was not the end, and the passions and anger

linger still.

What is at issue is not really the nature of ME at all. The true cause, or causes, of the illness are not yet established. It is far from proven that it is due to a virus, and extremely unlikely to be due to an allergy or vitamin or mineral-deficiency. But ME is not alone in this. The list of medical conditions whose etiology is obscure is a long one. But these illnesses do not attract the media coverage afforded ME.

To find the real issues behind the controversy, one

means sufferers are malingerers. It also does not conflict with any suggestion of a physical basis for chronic fatigue syndrome.

Here is the second misunderstanding. Psychiatric disorder and physical illness are not contradictory: they are closely associated. The rates of psychiatric disorder in those with physical illness are three times higher than in those without.

An unpublished survey of psychiatrists at my hospital revealed that 50 per cent of them thought ME has an organic basis. This is the real tragedy of ME. It is not untreatable. Doctors are making substantial advances in management — in Britain, five clinical trials are in progress, led by psychiatrists (which would hardly be the case if doctors felt the illness was malingering). It is ironic that critics of medicine frequently call for doctors to be better listeners and better able to empathise with their patients.

These are precisely the skills necessary for a successful psychiatrist or GP. Neither psychiatrists nor GPs need abnormal tests before they believe that symptoms are real, and people ill. But this is not enough. As long as psychiatry remains equated with imagined illnesses, programmes such as this will continue to be made.

SIMON WESSELY

• The author is a senior lecturer in psychological medicine at the Institute of Psychiatry and Maudsley Hospital